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1 Introduction

Chronic eye disease management is a challenge facing the United Kingdom (UK) National Health Service. The UK population is increasing, with annual growth estimates of 0.7%. Predictions estimate that the UK population will have reached approximately 72 million by 2031 (Department of Work & Pensions, 2005). Furthermore, as with most Western countries, the UK is an increasingly ageing population. The increasing prevalence of glaucoma in the elderly population and advances in diagnostic technologies used by primary care providers means that the number of new glaucoma suspect referrals to secondary NHS care are increasing (Edgar et al., 2010, Ratnarajan et al., 2013); however, the chronicity of these conditions means that patients often require lifelong NHS eye service care. As such most hospital eye departments have significant capacity problems with ophthalmology out-patient services (Chalk and Smith, 2013, Smith, 2013). Most recognise that there is a need to redesign the relevant patient pathways to improve current capacity, reduce overdue review intervals and enhance patient experience of ophthalmology services.

One solution is to adopt a ‘virtual clinic’ for managing stable patients.

A virtual clinic is one in which the face-to-face clinician consultation is removed. In a synchronous model, the patient and clinician interact in real time, for example, via a webcam. In the asynchronous model, the interaction occurs at different times. In a glaucoma asynchronous virtual clinic, technicians gather quantifiable data for clinicians to make value decisions. In this type of model, clinicians can review considerably more patient data than in traditional clinics.

With little or no increased capital and recurrent costs, there is emerging evidence of the increasing popularity of virtual clinics within secondary care both for glaucoma and increasingly medical retina specialties to help deal with increased patient numbers (Court & Austin, 2015, Kotecha et al., 2015, Wright & Diamond, 2015, Clarke et al, 2016, Tsaousis et al. 2016). Technician-led virtual clinics may be placed at locations independent of the reviewing clinician so enhancing a Trust’s ability to deliver CCG ideals of community based care. There are no clear guidelines for the development of these services.

This document aims to define the minimum standards for the development and implementation of virtual clinics for glaucoma management in the secondary care setting.

In seeking to commission high quality cataract surgery services, it is recommended that commissioners work in partnership with a range of stakeholders, including the Hospital Eye Service, community optometry services, general practice, social care, adjacent clinical commissioning groups, health and wellbeing boards, service users and carers.

Standards were developed by an expert panel and refined following a two-round survey of members of the United Kingdom and Eire Glaucoma Society.

2 Definition of Standards

Section 1: Patients suitable for virtual clinic monitoring.
1.1 Patients suitable for the service will be those deemed suitable for the service at the
discretion of their referring consultant, and may include those with:

   i. Ocular hypertension (OHT);

   ii. Suspected open angle glaucoma;

   iii. Early or moderate * primary open angle glaucoma in the worse eye;

   iv. Bilateral pseudophakia and a primary diagnosis of early or moderate * primary
       angle closure glaucoma in the worse eye;

   * where ‘early’ and ‘moderate’ disease stage are based on the criteria developed by Damji et
   al. (See Appendix).

1.2 Patients not suitable for this service would include those in whom it is anticipated that
the quality of data collected will be of insufficient reliability for the delegated glaucoma
reviewer to make a safe clinical decision (e.g. unable to perform visual fields, poor disc
imaging).

1.3 Diabetic patients moved to a virtual clinic should be reminded to continue to attend their
local Diabetic Retinal Screening Service for annual retinal screening. This should also be
recorded in any GP correspondence.

1.4 Patients with co-existing ocular comorbidities (e.g. uveitis, age-related macular
degeneration) who require monitoring of their condition will not be suitable for this service.

Section 2: Recommended test procedures and processes within the service.

2.1 It is recommended that patients attending the virtual service have the following tests
performed:

   i. Visual acuity testing at each visit;

   ii. Thresholded automated visual fields at intervals clearly specified by the referring
       consultant/ delegated glaucoma reviewer;

   iii. Intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement using a validated and appropriately
       calibrated tonometer at each visit;

   iv. Disc imaging using a validated method of imaging the optic disc at intervals
       clearly specified by the consultant/ delegated glaucoma reviewer;

   v. Central corneal thickness (CCT) measured at least once, if not previously
       recorded.

   vi. A van Herick or equivalent objective (e.g. optical coherence tomography)
       measurement of the anterior chamber angle depth as specified by the referring
       consultant/delegated glaucoma reviewer; *

   vii. A recording of the patient’s compliance to treatment, where appropriate, and
       any difficulties arising since the last visit. †

   * Gonioscopy in the outpatient clinic is recommended if there is a change in patient status,
     e.g. IOP destabilises or there are signs of progressive disease.

   †
† Recording of such data may take the form of a ‘tick box’ questionnaire administered by the technician to highlight potential issues to the delegated glaucoma reviewer for further action. The technicians delivering the service should not be expected to take a full ophthalmic history.

2.2 Patients who have been deemed to show no signs of conversion to glaucoma and who are subsequently discharged from the service should be given clear instructions that they should continue with other ophthalmological monitoring, where appropriate. Discharged patients should be advised to continue with annual/biennial routine community sight tests.

2.3 The decision for a face-to-face consultant review at the time of discharge is optional and remains at the discretion of the referring consultant ophthalmologist/ delegated glaucoma reviewer.

Section 3: Staff involved in service

3.1 The virtual clinic is a hospital-led service and as such the overall ‘guarantor’ of the service should be a consultant ophthalmologist. Departments running the service should keep an updated delegation log that details the service guarantor, the delegated glaucoma reviewer, and the technicians delivering the service, along with the responsibilities of each role.

3.2 All staff delivering the service should have evidence of training and locally (or, if available, nationally) approved accreditation for their required task, with evidence of regular review to ensure that skills are kept up-to-date.

The banding of technician staff working in the service should meet local aspirations that achieve the competencies required to deliver the service.

3.3 The delegated glaucoma reviewer for this service should be a glaucoma clinician working within the secondary care team to whom the patient’s referring consultant is happy to delegate the role.

Section 4: Data collection and governance

4.1 Ideally, all patient information will be collated, controlled and delivered by an electronic patient record (EPR), although lack of an EPR is not a barrier to implementation of this type of service.

4.2 Departments running such services will clearly define who is ultimately responsible for patients in the service (in terms of the delegated glaucoma reviewer or referring ophthalmologist).

4.3 The service should be regularly audited against the standards.
3. **Steering group**

- Professor Paul J. Foster, NIHR BRC for Ophthalmology, UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London
- Dr. Aachal Kotecha, NIHR BRC for Ophthalmology, UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London
- Mr. Simon Longstaff, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield

4. **Standards Panel**

Steering group members, plus:

- Professor Augusto Azuara-Blanco, Queens University, Belfast
- Mr. James F. Kirwan, Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Portsmouth
- Professor James E. Morgan, Cardiff University, Cardiff
- Miss Anne Fiona Spencer, Manchester Royal Eye Hospital, Manchester

5. **References:**


**Appendix 1: Glaucoma definitions:**

**Table 1 Disease staging criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma Suspect</td>
<td>1 or more of the following: IOP &gt; 20 mmHg; possible RNFL defect; Inter-eye asymmetry in CD ration in discs of the same size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early glaucoma</td>
<td>Unequivocal RNFL loss/ optic disc features consistent with glaucoma in the absence of VF loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate glaucoma</td>
<td>Optic disc/ RNFL features consistent with glaucoma AND reproducible VF defect consistent with glaucoma, with MD between -6dB and -12dB with at least 1 central 5º point worse than 15dB, but NONE with worse than 0dB and only 1 hemifield with central point worse than 15dB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced glaucoma</td>
<td>Optic disc/ RNFL features consistent with glaucoma AND reproducible VF defect consistent with glaucoma, with MD worse than -12dB with any central 5º point with sensitivity 0dB and both hemifields containing point(s) worse than 15dB within 5º fixation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix 2: Glossary of terms and clarifications

**Delegated glaucoma reviewer:** A clinician (e.g. ophthalmologist, optometrist, nurse practitioner) who has been glaucoma trained and to whom the glaucoma service lead is happy to delegate the role.

The role of the delegated glaucoma reviewer is to be able to identify patients who have moved from a ‘stable’ status to an ‘unstable’ status. Once deemed ‘unstable’ a patient should be referred back to the face-to-face consultant clinic for appropriate clinical management.