

# **Examination Report**

# April 2018 Refraction Certificate Examination

Matthew Turner, Ben Smith

# Contents

1	Introd	duction	3
		Examination blueprint Examination Structure	
2	Summ	nary	3
		ard Setting	
	3.1	Hofstee method	4
4	Resul	ts	4
5	Break	down of results	7
6	Comp	parison to previous examinations	8

**G** Alpha*Plus* 

# 1 Introduction

The XX Refraction Certificate examination in the format was held in XX for the XXth time in April 2018. 60 candidates presented themselves for the examination. The examination consisted of a 12 station OSCE covering a range of skills required to assess visual acuity, refractive error and the prescription of spectacles.

#### 1.1 Examination blueprint

The Refraction Certificate (RCert) is designed to assess the following learning outcomes from the Royal College of Ophthalmologists curriculum for ophthalmic specialist training (OST):

CA2 Vision CA7 Motility PM1 Management plan PM14 Spectacles PS2 Refraction PS21 Hand hygiene C1 Rapport C2 Communication C12 Records BCS6 Optics BCS14 Instrument technology AER16 Time management

#### 1.2 Examination Structure

The examination consists of 12 OSCE stations. Each station contributes a possible 15 marks to the overall total. The stations used for the examination were:

- 1. Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (CR1)
- 2. Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (CR2)
- 3. Subjective Refraction Cylinder (SRC)
- 4. Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (CR3)
- 5. Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (CR4)
- 6. Lens Neutralisation (LN)
- 7. Non Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (NCR1)
- 8. Non Cycloplegic Retinoscopy (NCR2)
- 9. Visual acuity and IPD measurement (VA)
- 10. Subjective Refraction Sphere (SRS)
- 11. Binocular balance (BB)
- 12. Near Addition (NA)

## 2 Summary

This is the XX sitting of the refraction certificate with 12 OSCE stations. This was the XX refraction certificate exam to be held in XX. The reliability of the examination is below the desired acceptable level (Cronbach alpha 0.55, desired level >0.8).

The Hofstee method of standard setting was used to identify the pass mark for this examination, which was 75.00%. Four stations achieved high mean scores, stations 1, 4, 5 and 12. The station with the lowest mean score was station 8.

The pass rate was 68.33% with a higher pass rate in OST at 73.33%.

Candidates in training appear to be better prepared for the exam than their non-trainee counterparts (73.33% compared to 53.33%).

# 3 Standard Setting

Candidates must be able to accurately assess visual acuity, measure refractive error and recommend an appropriate spectacle correction to pass the RCert. The pass mark is identified using the Hofstee method:

#### 3.1 Hofstee method

After the examination, examiners were asked to review the parameters for the standard setting based upon their judgment of the difficulty of the stations. The following values were used to set the pass mark:

The cumulative fail rate as a function of the pass mark and the co-ordinates derived from the four values above were plotted on a graph. The point where a line joining the two coordinates intersects the cumulative function curve is used to identify the pass mark.

- The maximum credible pass mark for the examination = 75%
- The maximum credible pass rate for the examination = 75%
- The minimum credible pass mark for the examination = 60%
- The minimum credible pass rate for the examination = 30%

The Hofstee pass mark for this examination was 135/180 (75%).

### 4 Results

Table 1: Results Summary

Statistic	Value	Percentage
Number of candidates	60	
Maximum possible mark	180	
Mean candidate mark	141.30	78.50%
Median candidate mark	144.00	80.00%
Standard deviation	15.15	8.42%
Highest candidate mark	172	95.56%
Lowest candidate mark	105	58.33%
Reliability	0.55	
Standard error of measurement (SEM)	10.16	5.64%
Hofstee pass mark	135/180	75.00%
Pass Rate	41/60	68.33%
Pass Rate in OST	33/45	73.33%




Figure 1:	Distribution of Marks
-----------	-----------------------

The vertical line denotes the point on the mark distribution where the pass mark lies.

#### Table 2: Station Summary

Station	Category	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
1	CR1	12.22	14.00	3.01	1	15
2	CR2	11.80	12.00	3.07	1	15
3	SRC	11.20	12.00	3.62	2	15
4	CR3	12.98	14.00	2.78	3	15
5	CR4	13.18	14.00	2.25	7	15
6	LN	11.35	12.00	3.27	0	15
7	NCR1	11.57	12.00	3.17	3	15
8	NCR2	9.55	9.00	3.65	0	15
9	VA	11.85	12.50	2.41	3	15
10	SRS	11.87	13.00	2.80	2	15
11	BB	11.35	13.00	3.64	0	15
12	NA	12.38	13.00	2.89	4	15

The relative weights for each skill in refraction (based upon the number of stations) are:

#### Table 3: Weights for each skill

Clinical Skill	Number of stations	Contribution to total marks	Median mark
Retinoscopy	6	50%	13
Subjective	3	25%	13
Other	3	25%	13

#### Table 4:Correlation between stations

	CR1	CR2	SRC	CR3	CR4	LN	NCR1	NCR2	VA	SRS	BB
CR1	Х										
CR2	0.69	Х									
SRC	-0.11	-0.07	Х								
CR3	0.05	0.05	-0.04	Х							
CR4	-0.05	-0.10	0.05	0.20	Х						
LN	0.01	-0.07	0.15	0.13	0.15	Х					
NCR1	0.16	0.10	0.04	0.00	0.20	0.15	Х				
NCR2	0.16	0.26	-0.08	0.17	-0.01	0.17	0.51	Х			
VA	-0.08	-0.06	0.08	0.40	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.22	Х		
SRS	-0.23	-0.20	0.23	-0.04	0.15	0.28	-0.02	-0.07	0.23	Х	
BB	-0.05	0.03	0.23	0.02	0.21	0.26	0.01	-0.01	0.13	0.61	Х
NA	0.24	0.25	-0.22	0.33	0.07	0.08	-0.09	-0.01	0.25	-0.13	0.07

Median correlation between the cycloplegic refraction stations = 0.05

There was moderate correlation between the following pairs of cycloplegic refraction stations: CR1 and CR3, CR2 and CR3, CR3 and CR4.

Median correlation between the non-cycloplegic refraction stations = 0.51

Best correlation = between station 1 and 2 (0.69)

Poorest correlation between any station = -0.23, as highlighted in red

#### Table 5: Correlation between each station and the total score

Station	CR1	CR2	SRC	CR3	CR4	LN	NCR1	NCR2	VA	SRS	BB	NA
Correlation with Total Score	0.37	0.39	0.29	0.42	0.35	0.51	0.44	0.50	0.44	0.36	0.53	0.34

# 5 Breakdown of results

#### Table 6: Breakdown of results by training

Training	Failed	Passed	Total
In OST	12	33	45
Not in OST	7	8	15
Total	19	41	60

#### Table 7: Breakdown of results by deanery

Deanery	Failed	Passed	Total
East Midlands	0	1	1
East of England	1	1	2
Europe and Overseas	1	1	2
KSS	1	1	2
London	2	11	13
North Western	1	2	3
Northern	1	3	4
Northern Ireland	0	1	1
Oxford	0	4	4
Peninsula	1	0	1
Severn	0	2	2
South East of Scotland	0	2	2
Wessex	2	0	2
West Midlands	1	0	1
West of Scotland	0	1	1
Yorkshire	1	3	4
Total	12	33	45

#### Table 8: Breakdown of results by stage of training

Training	Failed	Passed	Total
MO ST6	0	1	1
OST1	0	1	1
OST2	5	18	23
OST3	6	12	18
OST4	1	1	2
Total	12	33	45

# 6 Comparison to previous examinations

#### Table 9: Comparison to previous years' exams

Date	Candidates	Pass mark	Pass rate	Pass rate in OST	% Candidates in OST	Reliability	SEM	Hofstee pass mark
Nov 10	53	74%	42%	44%	68%	0.6	7 (7%)	71%
Apr 11	57	71%	35%	47%	63%	0.6	6 (6%)	67%
July 11	41	67%	66%	72%	71%	0.4	6 (6%)	71%
Nov 11	69	65%	71%	75%	70%	0.6	8 (8%)	68%
Mar 12	54	73%	54%	66%	57%	0.6	8 (8%)	72%
July 12	44	71%	59%	67%	64%	0.5	9 (9%)	71%
Dec 12*	71	69%	75%	77%	55%	0.6	11 (6%)	72%
Apr 13	64	74%	61%	64%	64%	0.8	11 (6%)	74%
July 13	42	72%	74%	90%	48%	0.7	10 (6%)	74%
Dec 13	75	72%	67%	76%	65%	0.7	10 (6%)	71%
Apr 14	56	73%	84%	89%	66%	0.6	9.5 (5%)	75%
July 14	34	74%	62%	55%	65%	0.4	11 (6%)	74%
Dec 14*	63	71%	68%	77%	68%	0.6	12 (7%)	71%
Apr 15*	57	77%	65%	73%	65%	0.4	11 (7%)	77%
June 15*	33	69%	58%	n/a^	0%	0.73	10 (6%)	69%
July 15*	31	66%	58%	55%	65%	0.65	9.4 (5%)	66%
Jan 16*	70	70%	60%	60%	81%	0.8	10 (6%)	70%
Mar 16*	57	77%	81%	83%	70%	0.9	7.7 (4%)	77%
Jun 16*	23	70%	57%	n/a^	0%	0.7	11 (6%)	70%
July 16*	64	70%	64%	67%	67%	0.6	12 (7%)	70%
Jan 17*	62	72%	63%	64%	90%	0.6	10 (6%)	72%
Apr 17*	63	73%	67%	69%	62%	0.7	11 (6%)	73%
July 17*	62	72%	38%	68%	60%	0.7	12 (6%)	72%
Dec 17*	63	71%	35%	59%	65%	0.72	11 (6%)	71%
Apr 18*	60	75%	41%	73%	75%	0.55	10 (6%)	75%
* Hofstee pa	ass mark used	for these e	examinatio	ons				

^ Examination held in Kuching

Deanery	Total passes	Total candidates	Pass rate %
East Midlands	26	39	67
East of England	36	54	67
Europe and Overseas	1	3	33
KSS	21	25	84
London	141	191	74
North Western	4	7	57
Northern	22	29	76
Northern Ireland	13	19	68
Oxford	16	21	76
Peninsula	16	43	37
Severn	17	27	63
South East of Scotland	4	5	80
Wessex	23	35	66
West Midlands	49	72	68
West of Scotland	5	6	83
Yorkshire	48	69	70
East of Scotland	9	11	82
Mersey	33	45	73
North of Scotland	2	2	100
North Scotland	8	9	89
North West	28	38	74
South East Scotland	14	17	82
Wales	19	37	51
West Scotland	19	29	66
Total	574	833	69

Table 10: Performance of candidate by deanery for all examinations to date, where deanery is known