![](data:application/octet-stream;base64,)

Examination Report

Part 1 Fellowship of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (FRCOphth) Examination

October 2022

Matthew Turner, Ben Smith, David Budzynski

Contents

[1 Summary 3](#_Toc120780238)

[2 Multiple choice question (MCQ) paper 4](#_Toc120780239)

[2.1 Paper statistics 5](#_Toc120780240)

[2.2 Quality of questions 6](#_Toc120780241)

[2.3 Standard setting 6](#_Toc120780242)

[2.4 Comparison with previous Part 1 examinations 8](#_Toc120780243)

[2.5 Breakdown of results 9](#_Toc120780244)

[Appendix 1: Overall results for each deanery 11](#_Toc120780245)

# Summary

The Part 1 Fellowship of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (FRCOphth) examination took place in October 2022. A total of 340 candidates sat the examination, of which 163 (48 per cent) fulfilled the criteria required to pass the examination overall.

The pass rate for candidates in Ophthalmic Specialist Training (OST) is 57 per cent compared with a 33 per cent pass rate for non-trainees.

The multiple-choice question (MCQ) exam had a reliability of 0.91.

# Multiple choice question (MCQ) paper

The table below gives the paper contents compared with previous years.

1. MCQ paper content

| **Date** | **Anatomy/ embryology** | **Optics** | **Pathology** | **Pharmacology & genetics** | **Physiology** | **Miscellaneous** | **Investigations** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Oct 2014 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Jan 2015 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| May 2015 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Oct 2015 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Jan 2016 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 119\* |
| May 2016 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 119\* |
| Oct 2016 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Jan 2017 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 119\* |
| May 2017 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 7 | | 119\* |
| May 2018 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Oct 2018 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Jan 2019 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 22 | 8 | | 117\* |
| Apr 2019 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 119\* |
| Oct 2019 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 119\* |
| Jan 2020 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Oct 2020 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 | | 120 |
| Jan 2021 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 17 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 177\* |
| Apr 2021 | 26 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 178\* |
| Jan 2022 | 25 | 31 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 24 | 176\* |
| Apr 2022 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 174\* |
| Oct 2022 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 24 | 178\* |


\* = questions removed

The MCQ paper was lengthened from 120 to 180 questions for the first time in Jan 2021, largely by increasing the number of Investigations and Miscellaneous items, making the breakdown of the two categories' items more important than it has been historically.

## Paper statistics

1. MCQ paper summary statistics

| **Statistic** | **Value** | **Percentage** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mean score | 111/178 | 62.4% |
| Median score | 114/178 | 64.0% |
| Standard deviation | 19.3 | 10.8% |
| Candidates | 340 |  |
| Reliability: Cronbach's alpha | 0.91 |  |
| Standard error of measurement (SEM) | 5.67 | 3.2% |
| Range of marks | 45 – 149 | 25.3% – 83.7% |
| Pass mark derived from standard setting | 115/178 | 64.6% |
| Pass - 1 SEM | 110/178 | 61.8% |
| Pass rate | 163/340 | 47.9% |



1. Distribution of marks – MCQ

The vertical line denotes the point on the mark distribution where the pass mark lies.

## Quality of questions

The Speedwell data allows us to identify easy, moderate and difficult questions, and those which are good, poor or perverse (negative) discriminators. Ideally, all questions should be moderately difficult and good discriminators.

1. MCQ paper quality

|  | | | **Discrimination** | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Negative** | | **Poor** | | **Good** | | **Total** | **%** |
| **<0** | | **0-0.249** | | **≥0.250** | |
| **Number** | **%** | **Number** | **%** | **Number** | **%** |
| **Facility** | **Difficult** | **<25%** | 1 | 0.6 | 9 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 5.6 |
| **Moderate** | **25–75%** | 9 | 5.1 | 54 | 30.3 | 44 | 24.7 | 107 | 60.1 |
| **Easy** | **≥75%** | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 7.9 | 47 | 26.4 | 61 | 34.3 |
| **Total** | | 10 | 5.6 | 77 | 43.3 | 91 | 51.1 | 178 | 100.0 |

## Standard setting

The pass mark for the paper was agreed using the Ebel method.

1. MCQ Ebel categories - items per category

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 2 | 36 | 84 | 122 |
| **Important** | 1 | 16 | 31 | 48 |
| **Supplementary** | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| **Total** | 5 | 55 | 118 | 178 |

The Part 1 FRCOphth subcommittee considered the success of a minimally competent candidate in each category as below:

1. MCQ Ebel categories – expert decision

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.75 |
| **Important** | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
| **Supplementary** | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

1. MCQ Ebel categories – items per category X expert decision

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 1.00 | 23.00 | 63.00 | 88.00 |
| **Important** | 0.00 | 8.00 | 17.00 | 26.00 |
| **Supplementary** | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| **Total** | 2.00 | 32.00 | 81.00 | 115.00 |

The MCQ pass mark was 115/178 (65 per cent).

1. Comparison of pass marks and rates for previous MCQ papers

| **Year** | **Candidates** | **Mean score** | **Reliability  (KR 20)** | **SEM** | **Standard setting** | **Pass mark** | **33% discrimination** | | | **Facility** | | |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Negative** | **Poor  (0-0.249)** | **Good  (>0.250)** | **Difficult  (<25%)** | **Moderate** | **Easy  (>75%)** | **Number of questions** | **Pass number (rate)** |
| May 2014 | 119 | 67 | 0.90 | 4.80 | Ebel | 69 (58%) | 4 | 43 | 72 | 6 | 82 | 31 | 119 | 54 (45%) |
| Oct 2014 | 232 | 72 | 0.90 | 4.80 | Ebel | 69 (58%) | 5 | 40 | 75 | 3 | 94 | 23 | 120 | 144 (62%) |
| Jan 2015 | 89 | 69 | 0.86 | 4.90 | Ebel | 69 (58%) | 9 | 56 | 55 | 9 | 91 | 20 | 120 | 53 (60%) |
| May 2015 | 114 | 72 | 0.89 | 4.70 | Ebel | 68 (57%) | 3 | 47 | 70 | 5 | 90 | 25 | 120 | 73 (64%) |
| Oct 2015 | 188 | 68 | 0.85 | 4.90 | Ebel | 71 (60%) | 3 | 59 | 58 | 6 | 90 | 24 | 120 | 79 (42%) |
| Jan 2016 | 107 | 69 | 0.90 | 4.90 | Ebel | 71 (59%) | 3 | 55 | 62 | 6 | 91 | 23 | 119 | 47 (44%) |
| May 2016 | 123 | 70 | 0.90 | 4.90 | Ebel | 71 (60%) | 6 | 34 | 79 | 3 | 90 | 26 | 119 | 71 (58%) |
| Oct 2016 | 194 | 71 | 0.88 | 4.80 | Ebel | 72 (60%) | 5 | 49 | 66 | 9 | 88 | 23 | 120 | 72 (37%) |
| Jan 2017 | 101 | 64 | 0.80 | NA | Ebel | 71 (60%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2017 | 136 | 69 | 0.80 | 4.80 | Ebel | 75 (63%) | 6 | 63 | 50 | 8 | 89 | 22 | 119 | 45 (33%) |
| May 2018 | 119 | 70 | 0.83 | 4.73 | Ebel | 72 (60%) | 15 | 61 | 44 | 9 | 70 | 41 | 120 | 59 (50%) |
| Oct 2018 | 214 | 70 | 0.86 | 4.84 | Ebel | 72 (60%) | 7 | 68 | 45 | 4 | 87 | 29 | 120 | 103 (48%) |
| Jan 2019 | 96 | 65 | 0.82 | 4.68 | Ebel | 70 (60%) | 15 | 63 | 39 | 15 | 70 | 32 | 117 | 39 (41%) |
| Apr 2019 | 119 | 72 | 0.89 | 4.70 | Ebel | 73 (61%) | 10 | 46 | 63 | 9 | 79 | 31 | 119 | 64 (54%) |
| Oct 2019 | 186 | 68 | 0.84 | 4.67 | Ebel | 72 (61%) | 10 | 65 | 44 | 13 | 68 | 38 | 119 | 81 (44%) |
| Jan 2020 | 130 | 60 | 0.97 | 4.54 | Ebel | 73 (61%) | 1 | 20 | 99 | 15 | 96 | 9 | 120 | 45 (35%) |
| Oct 2020 | 365 | 77 | 0.87 | 4.56 | Ebel | 73 (61%) | 6 | 63 | 51 | 8 | 63 | 49 | 120 | 240 (66%) |
| Jan 2021 | 149 | 107 | 0.89 | 5.63 | Ebel | 116 (66%) | 7 | 105 | 65 | 15 | 108 | 54 | 177 | 51 (34%) |
| Apr 2021 | 227 | 107 | 0.89 | 5.73 | Ebel | 112 (63%) | 12 | 97 | 69 | 9 | 110 | 59 | 178 | 95 (42%) |
| Jan 2022 | 160 | 102 | 0.89 | 5.73 | Ebel | 111 (63%) | 19 | 84 | 73 | 15 | 111 | 50 | 176 | 56 (35%) |
| Apr 2022 | 253 | 102 | 0.90 | 5.76 | Ebel | 112 (64%) | 9 | 90 | 75 | 7 | 122 | 45 | 174 | 84 (33%) |
| Oct 2022 | 340 | 111 | 0.91 | 5.67 | Ebel | 115 (65%) | 10 | 77 | 91 | 10 | 107 | 61 | 178 | 163 (48%) |

\* For Jan 2021 and subsequent diets, the MCQ paper was lengthened from 120 to 180 questions.

## Comparison with previous Part 1 examinations

1. Key statistics in previous years

| **Examination** | **Candidates** | **Number passing** | **% passed** | **MCQ pass mark %** | **CRQ pass mark %** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jan 2013 | 91 | 47 | 52 | 57 | 53 |
| May 2013 | 102 | 54 | 53 | 58 | 58 |
| Oct 2013 | 151 | 65 | 43 | 58 | 60 |
| Jan 2014 | 77 | 23 | 30 | 57 | 57 |
| May 2014 | 119 | 55 | 46 | 58 | 56 |
| Oct 2014 | 232 | 102 | 44 | 58 | 57 |
| Jan 2015 | 89 | 50 | 56 | 58 | 61 |
| May 2015 | 114 | 62 | 54 | 57 | 54 |
| Oct 2015 | 188 | 57 | 30 | 59 | 59 |
| Jan 2016 | 107 | 36 | 34 | 59 | 54 |
| May 2016 | 123 | 61 | 50 | 60 | 56 |
| Oct 2016 | 194 | 70 | 36 | 60 | 59 |
| Jan 2017 | 101 | 38 | 38 | 60 | 51 |
| May 2017 | 136 | 62 | 46 | 63 | 53 |
| May 2018 | 119 | 64 | 54 | 60 | 54 |
| Oct 2018 | 214 | 122 | 57 | 60 | 55 |
| Jan 2019 | 96 | 37 | 39 | 60 | 50 |
| Apr 2019 | 119 | 45 | 38 | 61 | 51 |
| Oct 2019 | 186 | 89 | 48 | 61 | 51 |
| Jan 2020 | 116 | 53 | 46 | 61 | 52 |
| Oct 2020 | 369 | 230 | 62 | 61 | 52 |
| Jan 2021 | 149 | 51 | 34 | 66 | N/A |
| Apr 2021 | 227 | 95 | 42 | 63 | N/A |
| Jan 2022 | 160 | 56 | 35 | 63 | N/A |
| Apr 2022 | 253 | 84 | 33 | 64 | N/A |
| Oct 2022 | 340 | 163 | 48 | 65 | N/A |

\* For Jan 2021 and subsequent diets, the CRQ paper was removed (and 50 per cent more items were added to the MCQ).

1. Comparison to previous years

| **Sitting** | **Candidates** | **Number passing** | **Pass rate (%)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| January | 1,180 | 466 | 39 |
| May | 1,089 | 510 | 47 |
| October | 2,480 | 1,210 | 49 |
| **Total** | **4,749** | **2,186** | **46** |

## Breakdown of results

1. Breakdown of results by training number (%)

| **Training** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Percentage** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| In OST | 13 | 17 | 56.7 | 30 |
| Not in OST | 22 | 11 | 33.3 | 33 |
| Unknown | 142 | 135 | 48.7 | 277 |
| **Total** | **177** | **163** | **47.9** | **340** |

1. Breakdown of results by deanery

| **Country** | **Deanery** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UK | East Midlands | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| East of England | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| East of Scotland | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| London | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| North of Scotland | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| North Western | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Northern | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Not Applicable | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Wessex | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| West Midlands | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Yorkshire | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Overseas | Eire | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Europe and Overseas | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Total** | | **12** | **17** | **29** |

1. Breakdown of results by stage of training

| **Stage** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Percentage** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FY2 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Medical Student | 10 | 13 | 43.5 | 23 |
| OST1 | 1 | 2 | 33.3 | 3 |
| OST2 | 2 | 1 | 66.7 | 3 |
| **Total** | **13** | **17** | **43.3** | **30** |

1. Breakdown of results by number of attempts

| **Attempt** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 105 | 102 | 207 |
| 2 | 40 | 35 | 75 |
| 3 | 13 | 14 | 27 |
| 4 | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| **Total** | **177** | **163** | **340** |

Appendix 1: Overall results for each deanery

Result data by deanery has been available since October 2010. The summary results for each deanery are listed below.

1. Cumulative pass by deanery

| **Country** | **Deanery** | **Total candidates passed** | **Total candidates** | **Pass rate %** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UK | East Midlands | 47 | 99 | 47 |
| East of England | 65 | 143 | 45 |
| East of Scotland | 16 | 22 | 73 |
| Kent, Surrey and Sussex | 5 | 14 | 36 |
| KSS (Kent, Surrey & Sussex) | 47 | 76 | 62 |
| London | 166 | 309 | 54 |
| Mersey | 48 | 117 | 41 |
| North of Scotland | 23 | 49 | 47 |
| North Western | 46 | 85 | 54 |
| Northern | 48 | 88 | 55 |
| Northern Ireland | 35 | 85 | 41 |
| Not Applicable | 87 | 190 | 46 |
| Oxford | 27 | 43 | 63 |
| Peninsula (South West) | 33 | 74 | 45 |
| Severn | 18 | 32 | 56 |
| Severn Institute | 3 | 6 | 50 |
| South East of Scotland | 25 | 39 | 64 |
| Wales | 53 | 111 | 48 |
| Wessex | 55 | 121 | 45 |
| West Midlands | 91 | 196 | 46 |
| West of Scotland | 61 | 124 | 49 |
| Yorkshire | 67 | 122 | 55 |
| Overseas | Eire | 9 | 28 | 32 |
| Europe and Overseas | 44 | 110 | 40 |
| **Total** | **Total** | **1,119** | **2,283** | **49** |