![](data:application/octet-stream;base64,)

Examination Report

Part 2 Fellowship of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (FRCOphth) written examination

December 2022

David Budzynski, Matthew Turner, Ben Smith

Contents

[1 Summary 3](#_Toc123725212)

[2 The candidates 3](#_Toc123725213)

[3 The written paper 3](#_Toc123725214)

[4 Results 5](#_Toc123725215)

[4.1 Analysis of questions 6](#_Toc123725216)

[4.2 Standard setting for multiple choice questions (MCQ) paper (Ebel method) 7](#_Toc123725217)

[4.3 Breakdown of written results 8](#_Toc123725218)

[4.4 Comparison to previous years 10](#_Toc123725219)

# Summary

The written paper of this sitting of the Part 2 Fellowship in Ophthalmology (FRCOphth) examination was held in December 2022.

The reliability of this exam was 0.83, whilst the percentage of questions with a good item discrimination (> 0.25) was 20 per cent. No questions were removed from paper 1 and two questions were removed from paper 2; therefore the examination was marked out of 178.

The pass mark for the written examination was raised by 1 SEM above the mark identified by the standard set by the Ebel method from 60 per cent to 63 per cent (i.e. 112/178). The pass rate for the examination was 66 per cent.

Sixteen candidates obtained the Ebel mark (60 per cent), but fell below the pass mark of Ebel +1 SEM (63 per cent). As such, the addition of +1 SEM has had a substantial impact on the pass rate, which would be 76 per cent rather than 66 per cent without the addition of the SEM.

# The candidates

There were 167 candidates for the examination. Of these 55/167 (33 per cent) were in Ophthalmic Specialist Training (OST). The largest group amongst the OST candidates (31 per cent) were in training level OST1.

# The written paper

The written part of the part 2 FRCOphth examination consists of a multiple-choice question paper, which is administered in two parts. Candidates must pass the written paper to be allowed to sit the clinical part of the examination.

As part of the quality management of the College's assessment process, the written papers are reviewed by the senior examiner after marking, but before the results are known. Two questions were removed from the examination papers as a result of this review.

The Part 2 FRCOphth subcommittee reviews all of the questions with a low item discrimination and facility.


1. The MCQ paper blueprint

| **Topic** | **Sub-Topic** | **Paper 1** | **Paper 2** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Clinical Ophthalmology | Trauma | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Oculoplastic & Orbit | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Glaucoma | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Strabismus | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Paediatrics | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Retina | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| Cataract | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Cornea & External Eye | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| Uveitis & Oncology | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Neurology & Pupils | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Medicine | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Pharmacology & Therapeutics | Pharmacology & Therapeutics | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Investigations | Ophthalmic | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Orthoptic | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Neuro-Imaging | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Miscellaneous | Statistics and epidemiology | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Research and EBM | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Nutrition | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Ethics and driving | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Standards and guidelines | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Economics | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Basic Science | Anatomy and physiology | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Pathology and microbiology | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Genetics | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Optics | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Total** | | **90** | **88\*\*** | **178** |
| \*\* Two questions were removed from the marking | | | | |

# Results

1. MCQ statistics

| **Statistic** | **Value** | **Percentage** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mean score: | 116/178 | 65.2% |
| Median score: | 117/178 | 65.7% |
| Standard deviation: | 13.5 | 7.6% |
| Candidates: | 167 |  |
| Reliability: | 0.83 |  |
| Standard error of measurement (SEM): | 5.55 | 3.1% |
| Range of marks: | 64 – 141 | 36.0% – 79.2% |
| Pass mark derived from standard setting: | 107/178 | 60.1% |
| *Pass rate without addition of SEM:* | *127/167* | *76.0%* |
| **Pass mark + 1 SEM:** | **112/178** | **62.9%** |
| **Pass rate:** | **111/167** | **66.5%** |
| ***Pass rate in OST:*** | ***41/55*** | ***74.5%*** |



1. Distribution of scores

## Analysis of questions

The Speedwell data provides analysis and identification of questions with low, moderate and high facility, and those which are good, poor or perverse (negative) discriminators. Ideally, all questions should have moderate facility and be good discriminators.

1. Discrimination against facility value

|  | **Facility Value** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Discrimination** |  | Low  (<25 correct) | Moderate  (25-74 correct) | High  (≥75 correct) | Total |
| Negative discrimination | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 |
| Poor discrimination  (0-0.19) | 5 | 55 | 32 | 92 |
| Good discrimination  (0.2-1.0) | 1 | 34 | 36 | 71 |
| **Total** | **13** | **96** | **69** | **178** |

## Standard setting for multiple choice questions (MCQ) paper (Ebel method)

1. Classification of the questions

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 7 | 18 | 46 | 71 |
| **Important** | 6 | 31 | 40 | 77 |
| **Supplementary** | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 |
| **Total** | **18** | **59** | **101** | **178** |

1. Expected percentage correct by borderline candidates

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| **Important** | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| **Supplementary** | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.30 |

1. Weighted score

|  | **Difficult** | **Moderate** | **Easy** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Essential** | 4.20 | 12.60 | 36.80 | 53.60 |
| **Important** | 3.00 | 17.05 | 24.00 | 44.05 |
| **Supplementary** | 1.25 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 8.75 |
| **Total** | **8.45** | **32.65** | **65.30** | **106.40** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SEM:** | **5.55** |
| **MCQ pass mark (Ebel + 1 SEM):** | **112** |

## Breakdown of written results

1. Breakdown of written results by training

| **Training** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Pass rate (%)** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| In OST | 14 | 41 | 74.5 | 55 |
| Not in OST | 26 | 33 | 55.9 | 59 |
| Unknown | 16 | 37 | 69.8 | 53 |
| **Total** | **56** | **111** | **66.5** | **167** |

1. Breakdown of written results by stage of training

| **Training** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Pass rate (%)** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| FY2 | 0 | 6 | 100.0 | 6 |
| Medical Student | 0 | 2 | 100.0 | 2 |
| OST1 | 5 | 12 | 70.6 | 17 |
| OST2 | 6 | 8 | 57.1 | 14 |
| OST3 | 2 | 13 | 86.7 | 15 |
| OST6 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| **Total** | **14** | **41** | **74.5** | **55** |

1. Breakdown of results by deanery

| **Country** | **Deanery** | **Failed** | **Passed** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UK | East Midlands | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| East of England | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| East of Scotland | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| London and KSS (Kent, Surrey and Sussex) | 3 | 12 | 15 |
| Mersey | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| North Western | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Northern | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Northern Ireland | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Not Applicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oxford | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Peninsula (South West) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Severn Institute | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wales | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Wessex | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Midlands | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| West of Scotland | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Yorkshire | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Overseas | Eire | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Europe and Overseas | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| **Total** | **Total** | **14** | **37** | **51** |

## Comparison to previous years

1. Comparison with the written papers from previous examinations

| **Examination** | **Candidates** | **Pass mark** | **Pass rate** | **Pass rate in OST** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sep 2008 | 7 | 63.0% | 86.0% |  |
| Feb 2009 | 15 | 59.0% | 53.0% |  |
| Sep 2009 | 16 | 61.0% | 38.0% |  |
| Feb 2010 | 21 | 65.0% | 48.0% |  |
| Sep 2010 | 26 | 65.0% | 58.0% | 75.0% |
| Feb 2011 | 46 | 65.0% | 46.0% | 50.0% |
| Sep 2011 | 77 | 59.0% | 68.0% | 71.0% |
| Feb 2012 | 104 | 58.0% | 65.0% | 68.0% |
| Sep 2012 | 95 | 57.0% | 81.0% | 84.0% |
| Feb 2013\* | 109 | 61.0% | 85.0% | 89.0% |
| Sep 2013 | 103 | 59.0% | 93.0% | 97.0% |
| Feb 2014 | 117 | 58.0% | 90.0% | 94.0% |
| Sep 2014\*\* | 136 | 63.0% | 70.0% | 71.0% |
| Dec 2014\*\* | 106 | 65.0% | 47.0% | 54.0% |
| Jun 2015\*\* | 118 | 65.0% | 48.0% | 53.0% |
| Nov 2015\*\* | 145 | 64.0% | 41.0% | 46.0% |
| Jun 2016\*\* | 171 | 64.0% | 70.0% | 79.0% |
| Dec 2016\*\* | 143 | 64.0% | 77.0% | 83.0% |
| Jul 2018\*\* | 90 | 65.0% | 61.0% | 77.0% |
| Dec 2018\*\* | 127 | 65.0% | 58.0% | 59.0% |
| Jul 2019\*\* | 121 | 66.0% | 64.0% | 68.0% |
| Dec 2019\*\* | 128 | 62.0% | 70.0% | 84.0% |
| Dec 2020\*\* | 202 | 65.0% | 45.0% | 52.0% |
| Jul 2021\*\* | 151 | 66.0% | 70.0% | 78.0% |
| Dec 2021\*\* | 203 | 65.0% | 72.0% | 84.0% |
| Jun 2022\*\* | 216 | 62.0% | 81.0% | 87.0% |
| Dec 2022\*\* | 167 | 63.0% | 66.0% | 75.0% |
| \* The written papers changed from MCQ and EMQ papers (90 questions on each) to a 180-question MCQ paper at this sitting \*\* The pass mark is now set at Ebel + 1 SEM | | | | |

1. Quality of questions compared to previous years

| **Exam** | **Facility** | | |  | **Discrimination** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Low** | **Moderate** | **High** | **Negative** | **Poor** | **Good** |
| Feb 2013 | 4.4% | 53.3% | 42.2% |  | 8.3% | 53.3% | 38.3% |
| Sep 2013 | 3.9% | 46.6% | 49.4% |  | 6.7% | 55.1% | 38.2% |
| Feb 2014 | 3.9% | 54.4% | 41.7% |  | 8.9% | 56.7% | 34.4% |
| Sep 2014 | 2.8% | 59.8% | 37.4% |  | 5.6% | 59.8% | 34.6% |
| Jun 2015 | 5.0% | 54.2% | 40.8% |  | 9.5% | 42.5% | 48.0% |
| Nov 2015 | 3.9% | 59.6% | 36.5% |  | 9.6% | 57.3% | 33.1% |
| Jun 2016 | 3.9% | 50.0% | 46.1% |  | 6.7% | 56.1% | 37.2% |
| Nov 2016 | 4.0% | 54.2% | 41.8% |  | 6.2% | 54.2% | 39.5% |
| Jul 2018 | 2.2% | 53.9% | 43.8% |  | 9.6% | 50.0% | 40.4% |
| Dec 2018 | 5.1% | 50.3% | 44.6% |  | 10.2% | 57.1% | 32.8% |
| Jul 2019 | 1.7% | 55.2% | 43.1% |  | 12.6% | 58.6% | 28.7% |
| Dec 2019 | 6.2% | 51.7% | 42.0% |  | 11.9% | 58.5% | 29.5% |
| Dec 2020 | 7.8% | 52.0% | 40.2% |  | 10.6% | 58.1% | 31.3% |
| Jul 2021 | 6.1% | 48.3% | 45.6% |  | 8.9% | 54.4% | 36.7% |
| Dec 2021 | 5.1% | 47.2% | 47.8% |  | 9.6% | 56.2% | 34.3% |
| Jun 2022 | 3.4% | 55.1% | 41.5% |  | 11.9% | 60.2% | 27.8% |
| Dec 2022 | 7.3% | 53.9% | 38.8% |  | 7.9% | 51.7% | 39.9% |