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## 1 Summary

The Part 1 Fellowship of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (FRCOphth) examination took place in January 2023. A total of 169 candidates sat the examination, of which 60 ( $35.5 \%$ ) fulfilled the criteria required to pass the examination overall.

The multiple-choice question (MCQ) exam showed excellent reliability, with an alpha of $\alpha=0.87$.

## 2 Multiple choice question (MCQ) paper

The table below gives the paper contents by subject area, compared with previous exam diets / years.

Table 1: MCQ paper content

| Date of Exam | Anatomy/ embryology | Optics | Pathology | Pharmacology \& genetics | Physiology | Miscellaneous | Investigations | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-23 | 26 | 48 | 32 | 14 | 17 | 27 | 16 | 180 |
| Oct-22 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 24 | 178* |
| Apr-22 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 174* |
| Jan-22 | 25 | 31 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 24 | 176* |
| Apr-21 | 26 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 178* |
| Jan-21 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 17 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 177* |
| Oct-20 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| Jan-20 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| Oct-19 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 119* |
| Apr-19 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 119* |
| Jan-19 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 22 | 8 |  | 117* |
| Oct-18 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| May-18 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| May-17 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 7 |  | 119* |
| Jan-17 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 119* |
| Oct-16 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| May-16 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 119* |
| Jan-16 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 119* |
| Oct-15 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| May-15 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| Jan-15 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |
| Oct-14 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 8 |  | 120 |

* = questions removed

The MCQ paper was lengthened from 120 to 180 questions for the first time in Jan 2021, largely by increasing the number of Investigations and Miscellaneous items, making the breakdown of the two categories' items more important than it has been historically.

### 2.1 Paper statistics

Table 2: MCQ paper summary statistics

| Statistic | Value | Percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean score | $103 / 180$ | $57.2 \%$ |
| Median score | $106 / 180$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Standard deviation | 16.3 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Candidates | 169 |  |
| Reliability: Cronbach's alpha | 0.87 |  |
| Standard error of measurement (SEM) | 5.88 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Range of marks | $59-144$ |  |
| Pass mark derived from standard setting | $111 / 180$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Pass mark - 1 SEM (rounded) | $105 / 180$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| Pass rate | $60 / 169$ | $35.5 \%$ |

Figure 1: $\quad$ Histogram showing distribution of total scores - MCQ


The vertical dotted line denotes the point of the score distribution where the pass mark lies.

### 2.2 Quality of questions

Item analysis data allows us to identify easy, moderate and difficult questions, and those which are good, poor or negative discriminators. Optimally, all questions should be moderately difficult and good discriminators.

Table 3: MCQ paper quality

|  |  |  | 33\% Discrimination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Negative |  | Poor |  | Good |  | Total | \% |
|  |  |  | <0 |  | 0-0.249 |  | $\geq 0.250$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |  |  |
| Facility | Difficult | <25\% | 6 | 3.3 | 8 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 7.8 |
|  | Moderate | 25-75\% | 8 | 4.4 | 73 | 40.6 | 42 | 23.3 | 123 | 68.3 |
|  | Easy | $\geq 75 \%$ | 4 | 2.2 | 35 | 19.4 | 4 | 2.2 | 43 | 23.9 |
|  |  | Total | 18 | 10.0 | 116 | 64.4 | 46 | 25.6 | 180 | 100 |

### 2.3 Standard setting

The pass mark for the paper was agreed using the Ebel method.
Table 4: MCQ Ebel categories - items per category

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Essential | 2 | 23 | 81 | 106 |
| Important | 3 | 32 | 25 | 60 |
| Supplementary | 2 | 6 | 6 | 14 |
| Total | 7 | 61 | 112 | 180 |

The Part 1 FRCOphth subcommittee considered the success of a minimally competent candidate in each category as below:

Table 5: MCQ Ebel categories - expert decision

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Essential | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.75 |
| Important | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
| Supplementary | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Table 6: MCQ Ebel categories - items per category X expert decision

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Essential | 1.00 | 15.00 | 61.00 | 77.00 |
| Important | 1.00 | 16.00 | 14.00 | 31.00 |
| Supplementary | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| Total | 3.00 | 32.00 | 76.00 | 111.00 |

The MCQ pass mark was 111/180 (62\%).

| Year | Candidates | Mean score | Reliability(KR 20) | SEM | Standard setting | Pass mark | 33\% discrimination |  |  | Facility |  |  | Number of questions | Pass number (rate rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Negativ } \\ & \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor } \\ \text { (0- } \\ 0.249) \end{gathered}$ | Good $(>0.250)$ | Difficult (<25\%) | Moderate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Easy } \\ (>75 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Jan-23 | 169 | 103 | 0.87 | 5.88 | Ebel | 111 (62\%) | 18 | 116 | 46 | 14 | 123 | 43 | 180 | 60 (36\%) |
| Oct-22 | 340 | 111 | 0.91 | 5.67 | Ebel | 115 (65\%) | 10 | 77 | 91 | 10 | 107 | 61 | 178 | 163 (48\%) |
| Apr-22 | 253 | 102 | 0.9 | 5.76 | Ebel | 112 (64\%) | 9 | 90 | 75 | 7 | 122 | 45 | 174 | 84 (33\%) |
| Jan-22 | 160 | 102 | 0.89 | 5.73 | Ebel | 111 (63\%) | 19 | 84 | 73 | 15 | 111 | 50 | 176 | 56 (35\%) |
| Apr-21 | 227 | 107 | 0.89 | 5.73 | Ebel | 112 (63\%) | 12 | 97 | 69 | 9 | 110 | 59 | 178 | 95 (42\%) |
| Jan-21 | 149 | 107 | 0.89 | 5.63 | Ebel | 116 (66\%) | 7 | 105 | 65 | 15 | 108 | 54 | 177 | 51 (34\%) |
| Oct-20 | 365 | 77 | 0.87 | 4.56 | Ebel | 73 (61\%) | 6 | 63 | 51 | 8 | 63 | 49 | 120 | 240 (66\%) |
| Jan-20 | 130 | 60 | 0.97 | 4.54 | Ebel | 73 (61\%) | 1 | 20 | 99 | 15 | 96 | 9 | 120 | 45 (35\%) |
| Oct-19 | 186 | 68 | 0.84 | 4.67 | Ebel | 72 (61\%) | 10 | 65 | 44 | 13 | 68 | 38 | 119 | 81 (44\%) |
| Apr-19 | 119 | 72 | 0.89 | 4.7 | Ebel | 73 (61\%) | 10 | 46 | 63 | 9 | 79 | 31 | 119 | 64 (54\%) |
| Jan-19 | 96 | 65 | 0.82 | 4.68 | Ebel | 70 (60\%) | 15 | 63 | 39 | 15 | 70 | 32 | 117 | 39 (41\%) |
| Oct-18 | 214 | 70 | 0.86 | 4.84 | Ebel | 72 (60\%) | 7 | 68 | 45 | 4 | 87 | 29 | 120 | 103 (48\%) |
| May-18 | 119 | 70 | 0.83 | 4.73 | Ebel | 72 (60\%) | 15 | 61 | 44 | 9 | 70 | 41 | 120 | 59 (50\%) |
| May-17 | 136 | 69 | 0.8 | 4.8 | Ebel | 75 (63\%) | 6 | 63 | 50 | 8 | 89 | 22 | 119 | 45 (33\%) |
| Jan-17 | 101 | 64 | 0.8 | NA | Ebel | 71 (60\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct-16 | 194 | 71 | 0.88 | 4.8 | Ebel | 72 (60\%) | 5 | 49 | 66 | 9 | 88 | 23 | 120 | 72 (37\%) |
| May-16 | 123 | 70 | 0.9 | 4.9 | Ebel | 71 (60\%) | 6 | 34 | 79 | 3 | 90 | 26 | 119 | 71 (58\%) |
| Jan-16 | 107 | 69 | 0.9 | 4.9 | Ebel | 71 (59\%) | 3 | 55 | 62 | 6 | 91 | 23 | 119 | 47 (44\%) |
| Oct-15 | 188 | 68 | 0.85 | 4.9 | Ebel | 71 (60\%) | 3 | 59 | 58 | 6 | 90 | 24 | 120 | 79 (42\%) |
| May-15 | 114 | 72 | 0.89 | 4.7 | Ebel | 68 (57\%) | 3 | 47 | 70 | 5 | 90 | 25 | 120 | 73 (64\%) |
| Jan-15 | 89 | 69 | 0.86 | 4.9 | Ebel | 69 (58\%) | 9 | 56 | 55 | 9 | 91 | 20 | 120 | 53 (60\%) |
| Oct-14 | 232 | 72 | 0.9 | 4.8 | Ebel | 69 (58\%) | 5 | 40 | 75 | 3 | 94 | 23 | 120 | 144 (62\%) |
| May-14 | 119 | 67 | 0.9 | 4.8 | Ebel | 69 (58\%) | 4 | 43 | 72 | 6 | 82 | 31 | 119 | 54 (45\%) |

* For Jan 2021 and subsequent diets, the MCQ paper was lengthened from 120 to 180 questions.


### 2.4 Comparison with previous Part 1 examinations

Table 8: Key statistics in previous years

| Examination | Candidates | Number <br> passing | \% passed <br> (rounded) | MCQ <br> pass <br> mark \% | CRQ <br> pass <br> mark \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-23 | 169 | 60 | 36 | 62 | N/A |
| Oct-22 | 340 | 163 | 48 | 65 | N/A |
| Apr-22 | 253 | 84 | 33 | 64 | N/A |
| Jan-22 | 160 | 56 | 35 | 63 | N/A |
| Apr-21 | 227 | 95 | 42 | 63 | N/A |
| Jan-21 | 149 | 51 | 34 | 66 | N/A |
| Oct-20 | 369 | 230 | 62 | 61 | 52 |
| Jan-20 | 116 | 53 | 46 | 61 | 52 |
| Oct-19 | 186 | 89 | 48 | 61 | 51 |
| Apr-19 | 119 | 45 | 38 | 61 | 51 |
| Jan-19 | 96 | 37 | 39 | 60 | 50 |
| Oct-18 | 214 | 122 | 57 | 60 | 55 |
| May-18 | 119 | 64 | 54 | 60 | 54 |
| May-17 | 136 | 62 | 46 | 63 | 53 |
| Jan-17 | 101 | 38 | 38 | 60 | 51 |
| Oct-16 | 194 | 70 | 36 | 60 | 59 |
| May-16 | 123 | 61 | 50 | 60 | 56 |
| Jan-16 | 107 | 36 | 34 | 59 | 54 |
| Oct-15 | 188 | 57 | 30 | 59 | 59 |
| May-15 | 114 | 62 | 54 | 57 | 54 |
| Jan-15 | 89 | 50 | 56 | 58 | 61 |
| Oct-14 | 232 | 102 | 44 | 58 | 57 |
| May-14 | 119 | 55 | 46 | 58 | 56 |

* For Jan 2021 and subsequent diets, the CRQ paper was removed (and 50 per cent more items were added to the MCQ).

Table 9: Comparison to previous years (May 2014 to present)

| Sitting | Candidates | Number Passing | Pass rate (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 818 | 321 | 39 |
| April May | 1210 | 528 | 44 |
| October | 1723 | 833 | 48 |
| Total | 3751 | 1682 | 45 |

### 2.5 Breakdown of results

## Table 10: Breakdown of results by deanery

| Country | Deanery | Failed | Passed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | East Midlands | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | East of England | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | East of Scotland | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Kent, Surrey and Sussex | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | London | 6 | 4 | 10 |
|  | Mersey | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | North of Scotland | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | North Western | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  | Northern | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Oxford | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  | South West Peninsulas | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | South Yorks \& Humberside | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Wales | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Wessex | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | West Midlands | 3 | 6 | 9 |
|  | West of Scotland | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Yorkshire | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Overseas | Eire | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Europe and Overseas | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| Other | Not applicable / Unknown | 58 | 25 | 83 |
|  | TOTAL | 109 | 60 | 169 |

Table 11: Breakdown of results by number of attempts

| Attempt | Failed | Passed | Total | Pass rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 53 | 38 | 91 | $41.8 \%$ |
| 2 | 26 | 15 | 41 | $36.6 \%$ |
| 3 | 13 | 6 | 19 | $31.6 \%$ |
| 4 | 8 | 1 | 9 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | $0 \%$ |
| 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 109 | 60 | 169 |  |

## Appendix 1: Overall results for each deanery

Result data by deanery has been available since October 2010. The summary results for each deanery are listed below.

Table 12: Cumulative pass by deanery

| Country | Deanery | Total candidates passed | Total candidates sitting | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass rate } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | East Midlands | 48 | 103 | 47 |
|  | East of England | 66 | 146 | 45 |
|  | East of Scotland | 17 | 26 | 65 |
|  | Kent, Surrey and Sussex | 54 | 93 | 58 |
|  | London | 170 | 319 | 53 |
|  | Mersey | 49 | 120 | 41 |
|  | North of Scotland | 24 | 50 | 48 |
|  | North Western | 48 | 91 | 53 |
|  | Northern | 49 | 91 | 54 |
|  | Northern Ireland | 35 | 85 | 41 |
|  | Oxford | 29 | 49 | 59 |
|  | Peninsula (South West) | 33 | 75 | 44 |
|  | Severn | 21 | 38 | 55 |
|  | South East of Scotland | 25 | 39 | 64 |
|  | South Yorks \& Humberside | 1 | 1 | 100 |
|  | Wales | 54 | 112 | 48 |
|  | Wessex | 56 | 122 | 46 |
|  | West Midlands | 97 | 205 | 47 |
|  | West of Scotland | 64 | 129 | 50 |
|  | Yorkshire | 69 | 128 | 54 |
| Overseas | Eire | 10 | 32 | 31 |
|  | Europe and Overseas | 48 | 125 | 38 |
| Other | Not applicable / Unknown | 112 | 273 | 41 |
|  | Total | 1179 | 2452 | 48 |

