## Examination Report

# Part 2 Fellowship of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (FRCOphth) written examination 

## June 2023

Sian Williams, Kiran Sanghara, Lucy Foard

## Contents

1 Summary ..... 3
2 The candidates ..... 3
3 The written paper ..... 3
4 Results ..... 5
4.1 Analysis of questions ..... 6
4.2 Standard setting for multiple choice questions (MCQ) paper (Ebel method) ..... 7
4.3 Breakdown of written results ..... 8
4.4 Comparison to previous years ..... 10

## 1 Summary

The written paper of this sitting of the Part 2 Fellowship in Ophthalmology (FRCOphth) examination was held in June 2023.

The reliability of this exam was 0.79 , and the percentage of questions with a good item discrimination (>0.20) was 44.9 per cent. One question was removed from paper 1 and one question was removed from paper 2; therefore the examination was marked out of 178.

The pass mark for the written examination was raised by 1 SEM above the mark identified by the standard set by the Ebel method from 61.8 per cent to 65.2 per cent (i.e. $116 / 178$ ). The pass rate for the examination was 60.6 per cent.

Twenty five candidates obtained the Ebel mark ( 61.8 per cent), but fell below the pass mark of Ebel +1 SEM ( 65.2 per cent). As such, the addition of +1 SEM has had a substantial impact on the pass rate, which would be 74.4 per cent rather than 60.6 per cent without the addition of the SEM.

## 2 The candidates

There were 180 candidates for the examination. One hundred and forty two candidates were on their first attempt at the examination.

## 3 The written paper

The written part of the part 2 FRCOphth examination consists of a multiple-choice question paper, which is administered in two parts. Candidates must pass the written paper to be allowed to sit the clinical part of the examination.

As part of the quality management of the College's assessment process, the written papers are reviewed by the senior examiner after marking, but before the results are known. Two questions were removed from the examination papers as a result of this review.

The Part 2 FRCOphth subcommittee reviews all of the questions with a low item discrimination and facility.

Table 1:
The MCQ paper blueprint

| Topic | Sub-topic | Paper 1 | Paper 2 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Science | Anatomy and physiology | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Genetics | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Optics and laser | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Pathology and microbiology | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Sub-total | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Clinical Ophthalmology | Cateract and lens | 5 | 5 | 10 |
|  | Cornea and external eye | 10 | 10 | 20 |
|  | Glaucoma | 5 | 5 | 10 |
|  | Medicine | 3 | 5 | 8 |
|  | Neurology and pupils | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  | Oculoplastics and orbit | 5 | 5 | 10 |
|  | Paediatrics | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Retina | 9 | 10 | 19 |
|  | Strabismus and motility | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Trauma | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Uveitis and Oncology | 6 | 6 | 12 |
|  | Sub-total | 61 | 64 | 125 |
| Investigations | Neuro-imaging | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Opthalmic | 5 | 4 | 9 |
|  | Orthoptics | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Other/general | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Sub-total | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Miscellaneous | Economics | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Ethics and driving | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Guidelines and standards | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Nutrition | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Research and EBM | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Statistics and epidemiology | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Sub-total | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| Pharmacology and therapeutics | Pharmacology and therapeutics | 7 | 6 | 13 |
|  | Sub-total | 7 | 6 | 13 |
|  | Grand Total | 89* | 89* | 178 |
| *1 question was removed from the marking of each paper. |  |  |  |  |

## 4 Results

Table 2: MCQ statistics

| Statistic | Value | Percentage |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mean score: | $117 / 178$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Median score: | $118 / 178$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Standard deviation: | 12.1 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Candidates: | 180 |  |
| Reliability: | 0.79 |  |
| Standard error of measurement (SEM): | 5.57 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Range of marks: | $81-146$ | $45.5 \%-82.0 \%$ |
| Pass mark derived from standard setting: | $110 / 178$ | $61.8 \%$ |
| Pass rate without addition of SEM: | $134 / 180$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Pass mark + 1 SEM: | $116 / 178$ | $65.2 \%$ |
| Pass rate: | $109 / 180$ | $60.6 \%$ |



Figure 1: Distribution of scores

### 4.1 Analysis of questions

Item analysis data allows us to identify easy, moderate and difficult questions, and those which are good, poor or negative discriminators. Optimally, all questions should be moderately difficult and good discriminators.

Table 3:
Discrimination against facility value

|  | Facility Value |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrimination |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { (<25\% correct) } \end{gathered}$ | Moderate (25\%-74\% correct) | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ (\geq 75 \% \\ \text { correct }) \end{gathered}$ | Total |
|  | Negative | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
|  | Poor (0-0.19) | 5 | 41 | 44 | 90 |
|  | Good (0.20-1) | 2 | 53 | 25 | 80 |
|  | Total | 8 | 101 | 69 | 178 |

### 4.2 Standard setting for multiple choice questions (MCQ) paper (Ebel method)

Table 4:
Classification of the questions

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Essential | 0 | 26 | 53 | 79 |
| Important | 6 | 28 | 40 | 74 |
| Supplementary | 3 | 10 | 12 | 25 |
| Total | 9 | 64 | 105 | 178 |

Table 5: Expected percentage correct by borderline candidates

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Essential | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| Important | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Supplementary | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.30 |

Table 6:
Weighted score

|  | Difficult | Moderate | Easy | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Essential | 0 | 18.2 | 42.4 | 60.6 |
| Important | 3 | 15.4 | 24 | 42.4 |
| Supplementary | 0.75 | 3 | 3.6 | 7.35 |
| Total | 3.75 | 36.6 | 70 | 110.35 |


| SEM: | 5.57 |
| ---: | ---: |
| MCQ pass mark (Ebel + 1 SEM): | 116 |

### 4.3 Breakdown of written results

Table 7: Breakdown of written results by attempt number

| Attempt | Failed | Passed | Pass rate <br> $(\%)$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 54 | 88 | $62.0 \%$ | 142 |
| 2 | 9 | 17 | $65.4 \%$ | 26 |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | $37.5 \%$ | 8 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $50.0 \%$ | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 |
| Total | 71 | 109 | $60.6 \%$ | 180 |

Table 8:
Breakdown of results by deanery

| Country | Deanery | Failed | Passed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | East Midlands | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | East of England | 6 | 5 | 11 |
|  | East of Scotland | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | London and KSS (Kent, Surrey and Sussex) | 5 | 15 | 20 |
|  | Mersey | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | North Scotland | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | North Western | 3 | 3 | 6 |
|  | Northern | 3 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Northern Ireland | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Oxford | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  | South East of Scotland | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Peninsula (South West) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | South Yorkshire and Humberside | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Wales | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Wessex | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | West Midlands | 2 | 6 | 8 |
|  | West of Scotland | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Yorkshire | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Overseas | Eire | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Europe and Overseas | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Not applicable | 14 | 14 | 28 |
|  | Unknown | 22 | 28 | 50 |
| Total | Total | 71 | 109 | 180 |

### 4.4 Comparison to previous years

Table 9: Comparison with the written papers from previous examinations

| Examination | Candidates | Pass mark | Pass rate | Pass rate in OST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2023** | 180 | 65.20\% | 60.60\% | $\sim$ |
| Dec 2022** | 167 | 63.00\% | 66.00\% | 75.00\% |
| Jun 2022** | 216 | 62.00\% | 81.00\% | 87.00\% |
| Dec 2021** | 203 | 65.00\% | 72.00\% | 84.00\% |
| Jul 2021** | 151 | 66.00\% | 70.00\% | 78.00\% |
| Dec 2020** | 202 | 65.00\% | 45.00\% | 52.00\% |
| Dec 2019** | 128 | 62.00\% | 70.00\% | 84.00\% |
| Jul 2019** | 121 | 66.00\% | 64.00\% | 68.00\% |
| Dec 2018** | 127 | 65.00\% | 58.00\% | 59.00\% |
| Jul 2018** | 90 | 65.00\% | 61.00\% | 77.00\% |
| Dec 2016** | 143 | 64.00\% | 77.00\% | 83.00\% |
| Jun 2016** | 171 | 64.00\% | 70.00\% | 79.00\% |
| Nov 2015** | 145 | 64.00\% | 41.00\% | 46.00\% |
| Jun 2015** | 118 | 65.00\% | 48.00\% | 53.00\% |
| Dec 2014** | 106 | 65.00\% | 47.00\% | 54.00\% |
| Sep 2014** | 136 | 63.00\% | 70.00\% | 71.00\% |
| Feb 2014 | 117 | 58.00\% | 90.00\% | 94.00\% |
| Sept 2013 | 103 | 59.00\% | 93.00\% | 97.00\% |
| Feb 2013* | 109 | 61.00\% | 85.00\% | 89.00\% |
| Sept 2012 | 95 | 57.00\% | 81.00\% | 84.00\% |
| Feb 2012 | 104 | 58.00\% | 65.00\% | 68.00\% |
| Sept 2011 | 77 | 59.00\% | 68.00\% | 71.00\% |
| Feb 2011 | 46 | 65.00\% | 46.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Sept 2010 | 26 | 65.00\% | 58.00\% | 75.00\% |
| Feb 2010 | 21 | 65.00\% | 48.00\% |  |
| Sept 2009 | 16 | 61.00\% | 38.00\% |  |
| Feb 2009 | 15 | 59.00\% | 53.00\% |  |
| Sept 2008 | 7 | 63.00\% | 86.00\% |  |
| * The written papers changed from MCQ and EMQ papers (90 questions on each) to a 180-question MCQ paper at this sitting <br> ** The pass mark is now set at Ebel +1 SEM <br> ~ Data not available |  |  |  |  |

Table 10: Quality of questions compared to previous years

| Exam | Facility |  |  | Discrimination |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Moderate | High | Negative | Poor | Good |
| June 2023 | 4.50\% | 56.70\% | 38.80\% | 4.50\% | 50.60\% | 44.90\% |
| Dec 2022 | 7.30\% | 53.90\% | 38.80\% | 7.90\% | 51.70\% | 39.90\% |
| Jun 2022 | 3.40\% | 55.10\% | 41.50\% | 11.90\% | 60.20\% | 27.80\% |
| Dec 2021 | 5.10\% | 47.20\% | 47.80\% | 9.60\% | 56.20\% | 34.30\% |
| Jul 2021 | 6.10\% | 48.30\% | 45.60\% | 8.90\% | 54.40\% | 36.70\% |
| Dec 2020 | 7.80\% | 52.00\% | 40.20\% | 10.60\% | 58.10\% | 31.30\% |
| Dec 2019 | 6.20\% | 51.70\% | 42.00\% | 11.90\% | 58.50\% | 29.50\% |
| Jul 2019 | 1.70\% | 55.20\% | 43.10\% | 12.60\% | 58.60\% | 28.70\% |
| Dec 2018 | 5.10\% | 50.30\% | 44.60\% | 10.20\% | 57.10\% | 32.80\% |
| Jul 2018 | 2.20\% | 53.90\% | 43.80\% | 9.60\% | 50.00\% | 40.40\% |
| Nov 2016 | 4.00\% | 54.20\% | 41.80\% | 6.20\% | 54.20\% | 39.50\% |
| Jun 2016 | 3.90\% | 50.00\% | 46.10\% | 6.70\% | 56.10\% | 37.20\% |
| Nov 2015 | 3.90\% | 59.60\% | 36.50\% | 9.60\% | 57.30\% | 33.10\% |
| Jun 2015 | 5.00\% | 54.20\% | 40.80\% | 9.50\% | 42.50\% | 48.00\% |
| Sep 2014 | 2.80\% | 59.80\% | 37.40\% | 5.60\% | 59.80\% | 34.60\% |
| Feb 2014 | 3.90\% | 54.40\% | 41.70\% | 8.90\% | 56.70\% | 34.40\% |
| Sep 2013 | 3.90\% | 46.60\% | 49.40\% | 6.70\% | 55.10\% | 38.20\% |
| Feb 2013 | 4.40\% | 53.30\% | 42.20\% | 8.30\% | 53.30\% | 38.30\% |

