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## 1 Introduction

18 candidates sat the Rawalpindi (Pakistan) Refraction Certificate exam on the 20th of February 2024. The examination consists of 10 objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) stations, covering a range of skills required to assess visual acuity, refractive error, and the prescription of spectacles.

### 1.1 Examination blueprint

The Refraction Certificate (RCert) is designed to assess the following learning outcomes from the Royal College of Ophthalmologists curriculum for ophthalmic specialist training (OST):

## CA2 Assess vision

PM14 To use spectacle lenses and prisms when indicated
PS2 Perform a refractive assessment and provide an optical prescription
C1 Establish a good rapport with patients and relatives
C11 Keep clinical records
BCS6 Optics and Medical physics

### 1.2 Examination structure

The examination consists of 10 OSCE stations. Each station contributes 15 marks to the overall total. The stations used for the examination were:

- SR1-SR4: Simulated retinoscopy
- NR1 - NR2: Non-cycloplegic retinoscopy
- SC: Subjective refraction cylinder
- LN: Lens neutralisation
- SS: Subjective refraction sphere
- BB: Binocular balance


## 2 Summary

The Hofstee method of standard setting was used to generate the pass mark for this examination, with a final rounded pass mark of 106/150 (70.7\%). On average, candidates scored highest in the 'Simulated retinoscopy' stations (SR1-SR4). Candidates scored lowest in the 'Non-cycloplegic retinoscopy 1' (NR1) and 'Subjective refraction cylinder' (SC) stations. The overall exam pass rate was $72.2 \%$.

The reliability of the exam was $\alpha=0.67$; this falls below the desired level of 0.80 ; the alpha value can be negatively affected by small cohort sizes and the relationship between station performance and overall performance. The 'Subjective refraction cylinder' (SC) and 'Simulated retinoscopy 4' (SC4) station scores had the weakest correlations with overall scores. The 'Simulated retinoscopy 1' (SC1) station had the strongest correlation with the overall score, suggesting it was the best discriminator.

## 3 Standard setting

Candidates must be able to accurately assess visual acuity, measure refractive error and recommend an appropriate spectacle correction to pass the RCert. The pass mark is generated using the Hofstee method.

### 3.1 Hofstee method

After the examination, examiners were asked to review the parameters for the standard setting based upon their judgment of the difficulty of the stations. The following values were used to set the pass mark:

- The maximum credible pass mark for the examination $=75 \%$
- The minimum credible pass mark for the examination $=60 \%$
- The maximum credible pass rate for the examination $=100 \%$
- The minimum credible pass rate for the examination $=0 \%$

The cumulative fail rate as a function of the pass mark and the co-ordinates derived from the four values above were plotted on a graph. The point where a line joining the two coordinates intersects the cumulative function curve is used to identify the pass mark. This pass mark is rounded to the nearest achievable mark.

The raw Hofstee pass mark (before rounding) for this examination was 105.7/150 (70.5\%).

## 4 Results

Table 1: Results summary

| Statistic | Value | Percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of candidates | 18 |  |
| Maximum possible mark | 150 |  |
| Mean candidate mark | 102.28 | $68.2 \%$ |
| Median candidate mark | 110 | $73.3 \%$ |
| Standard deviation | 17.05 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Highest candidate mark | 118 | $78.7 \%$ |
| Lowest candidate mark | 56 | $37.3 \%$ |
| Reliability | 0.673 |  |
| Standard error of measurement | 9.75 | $6.5 \%$ |
| (SEM rounded) | $(10)$ | $16.7 \%)$ |
| Hofstee pass mark | $106 / 150$ | $70.7 \%$ |
| Pass rate* | $13 / 18$ | $72.2 \%$ |



Figure 1: Distribution of marks

The dotted red vertical line denotes the point on the score distribution where the pass mark lies.

Table 2: Station summary

| Station | Category | Mean | Median | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SR1 | 12.11 | 14.0 | 3.71 | 4 | 15 |
| 2 | SR2 | 10.83 | 14.0 | 4.90 | 3 | 15 |
| 3 | SR3 | 13.50 | 14.0 | 1.72 | 8 | 15 |
| 4 | SR4 | 12.83 | 14.0 | 2.77 | 6 | 15 |
| 5 | NR1 | 6.39 | 6.0 | 3.35 | 1 | 14 |
| 6 | NR2 | 10.11 | 10.0 | 4.40 | 3 | 15 |
| 7 | SC | 7.39 | 7.5 | 3.53 | 2 | 14 |
| 8 | LN | 10.33 | 11.0 | 3.31 | 0 | 15 |
| 9 | SS | 9.50 | 10.0 | 2.88 | 3 | 14 |
| 10 | BB | 9.28 | 10.0 | 3.27 | 4 | 14 |

Stations with a mean station score above twelve (highest mean scores) are highlighted in green. Stations highlighted red have the lowest mean scores. The SR2 and NR2 stations see the largest variations in candidate performance.

The relative weights for each skill in refraction (based upon the number of stations) are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Weights for each skill

| Clinical Skill | Number of stations | Contribution to total marks | Median mark |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retinoscopy | 6 | $60 \%$ | 13.5 |
| Subjective | 3 | $30 \%$ | 9.0 |
| Other | 1 | $10 \%$ | 11.0 |

Table 4: Correlation between stations

|  | SR1 | SR2 | SR3 | SR4 | NR1 | NR2 | SC | LN | SS |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR2 | 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR3 | 0.34 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR4 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR1 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.51 | -0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR2 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| SC | 0.14 | -0.17 | -0.27 | 0.21 | -0.01 | -0.14 |  |  |  |
| LN | 0.20 | 0.15 | -0.11 | -0.15 | 0.22 | 0.38 | -0.38 |  |  |
| SS | 0.34 | 0.35 | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.07 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.27 |  |
| BB | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.19 | -0.16 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.71 |

Within Table 4, cells are highlighted green if the correlation is greater than 0.5 , orange if the correlation is between 0 and 0.2 and red if the correlation is negative.

The median correlation between all stations was 0.20 . There were $12 / 45$ negative correlations between stations (red), and 14/45 instances of a weak relationship between stations (orange). The strongest negative correlation was seen between the SC (Subjective refraction cylinder) station and the LN (Lens neutralisation) station (-0.38). The strongest positive correlation was seen between the SR1 (Simulated Retinoscopy 1) station and the SR2 (Simulated Retinoscopy 2) station (0.73), closely followed by the SS (Subjective refraction sphere) and BB (Binocular balance) station scores (0.71).

Table 5: Correlation between each station score and total score

| Station | SR1 | SR2 | SR3 | SR4 | NR1 | NR2 | SC | LN | SS | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation with <br> total score | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.49 | -0.10 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 0.44 |

Table 5 shows the corrected station-total correlations. This is the correlation between the station score and the overall total score without the score of that specific station included. Data suggests that the two of the 'Simulated retinoscopy' stations (SR1 \&SR2) and the 'Subjective refraction sphere' (SS) station had the strongest relationships with total scores and were therefore the better discriminators. Performance in the SC (Subjective refraction cylinder) and 'Simulated retinoscopy 4' (SR4) stations correlated less well with overall performance.

## 5 Breakdown of results

Table 6: Breakdown of results by demographic groups

| Demographics | Passed | Total | Pass rate <br> (Rounded) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity (grouped) |  |  |  |
| Asian - Pakistani | 11 | 16 | $68.8 \%$ |
| Asian - Other | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| PMQ | 13 | 18 | $72.2 \%$ |
| OS | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| UK |  |  |  |
| Gender | 5 | 8 | $62.5 \%$ |
| Female | 7 | 9 | $77.8 \%$ |
| Male | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Unknown |  |  |  |

## 6 Comparison to previous examinations

Table 7: Comparison to previous years' exams

| Date | Centre | Number of Candidates | Pass mark | Pass rate | Pass rate in OST | \% of candidates in OST | Reliability (alpha) | SEM (rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb-24 | Rawalpindi | 18 | 71\% | 72\% | n/a | n/a | 0.67 | 10 (7\%) |
| Feb-24 | Chennai | 21 | 67\% | 52\% | n/a | n/a | 0.72 | 12 (8\%) |
| Jan-24 | Singapore | 14 | 72\% | 93\% | n/a | n/a | 0.40 | TBC |
| Dec-23 | Birmingham | 75 | 71\% | 79\% | n/a | n/a | 0.70 | 10 (7\%) |
| Nov-23 | Cairo | 10 | 69\% | 80\% | n/a | n/a | 0.81 | 9 (6\%) |
| Sept-23 | Birmingham | 58 | 67\% | 55\% | n/a | n/a | 0.66 | 11 (8\%) |
| June-23 | Kuching | 44 | 69\% | 75\% | n/a | n/a | 0.41 | 11 (7\%) |
| May-23 | Birmingham | 75 | 70\% | 71\% | n/a | n/a | 0.79 | 10 (7\%) |
| Jan-23 | Singapore | 22 | 71\% | 82\% | 100\% | 5\% | 0.54 | 9 (6\%) |
| Dec-22 | London | 63 | 69\% | 62\% | 86\% | 22\% | 0.73 | 11 (7\%) |
| Jul-22 | Glasgow | 109 | 72\% | 81\% | n/a | n/a | 0.85 | 9 (6\%) |
| May-22 | Birmingham | 83 | 72\% | 80\% | 94\% | 20\% | 0.77 | 9 (6\%) |
| May-22 | Delhi | 33 | 66\% | 39\% | n/a | n/a | 0.81 | 11 (7\%) |
| Apr-22 | Cairo | 36 | 73\% | 86\% | n/a | n/a | 0.76 | 8 (5\%) |
| Dec-21 | Singapore | 131 | 72\% | 79\% | 80\% | 31\% | 0.78 | 10 (6\%) |
| May-21 |  | 171 | 71\% | 57\% | 58\% | 42\% | 0.83 | 10 (7\%) |
| Jan-21 |  | 39 | 74\% | 92\% | n/a | n/a | 0.51 | 9 (6\%) |
| Dec-20 |  | 141 | 70\% | 57\% | 72\% | 56\% | 0.81 | 11 (8\%) |
| Jun-19 |  | 40 | 70\% | 57\% | n/a | n/a | 0.73 | 11 (7\%) |
| Jun-19 |  | 52 | 74\% | 67\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.76 | 9 (6\%) |
| Apr-19 |  | 87 | 72\% | 59\% | 68\% | 51\% | 0.54 | 12 (6\%) |
| Dec-18 |  | 68 | 72\% | 54\% | 70\% | 63\% | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jul-18 |  | 64 | 75\% | 67\% | 77\% | 55\% | 0.74 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jun-18 |  | 39 | 75\% | 74\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.69 | 10 (5\%) |
| Apr-18 |  | 60 | 75\% | 68\% | 73\% | 75\% | 0.55 | 10 (6\%) |
| Dec-17 |  | 63 | 71\% | 56\% | 59\% | 65\% | 0.72 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jul-17 |  | 62 | 72\% | 61\% | 68\% | 60\% | 0.7 | 12 (6\%) |
| Apr-17 |  | 63 | 73\% | 67\% | 69\% | 62\% | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jan-17 |  | 62 | 72\% | 63\% | 64\% | 90\% | 0.6 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-16 |  | 64 | 70\% | 64\% | 67\% | 67\% | 0.6 | 12 (7\%) |
| Jun-16 |  | 23 | 70\% | 57\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Mar-16 |  | 57 | 77\% | 81\% | 83\% | 70\% | 0.9 | 7.7 (4\%) |
| Jan-16 |  | 70 | 70\% | 60\% | 60\% | 81\% | 0.8 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-15 |  | 31 | 66\% | 58\% | 55\% | 65\% | 0.65 | 9.4 (5\%) |
| Jun-15 |  | 33 | 69\% | 58\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.73 | 10 (6\%) |
| Apr-15 |  | 57 | 77\% | 65\% | 73\% | 65\% | 0.4 | 11 (7\%) |
| Dec-14 |  | 63 | 71\% | 68\% | 77\% | 68\% | 0.6 | 12 (7\%) |
| Jul-14 |  | 34 | 74\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% | 0.4 | 11 (6\%) |
| Apr-14 |  | 56 | 73\% | 84\% | 89\% | 66\% | 0.6 | 9.5 (5\%) |
| Dec-13 |  | 75 | 72\% | 67\% | 76\% | 65\% | 0.7 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-13 |  | 42 | 72\% | 74\% | 90\% | 48\% | 0.7 | 10 (6\%) |
| Apr-13 |  | 64 | 74\% | 61\% | 64\% | 64\% | 0.8 | 11 (6\%) |

Table 8: Performance of candidate by deanery for all examinations to date, where deanery is known

| Deanery | Pass | Total | Pass rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London | 220 | 296 | 74.3 |
| East Midlands | 47 | 64 | 73.4 |
| East of England | 59 | 82 | 72.0 |
| East of Scotland | 15 | 21 | 71.4 |
| Kent, Surrey, and Sussex | 46 | 60 | 76.7 |
| Mersey | 50 | 66 | 75.8 |
| North of Scotland | 15 | 17 | 88.2 |
| Northwest | 28 | 38 | 73.7 |
| Northwestern | 20 | 25 | 80.0 |
| Northern | 39 | 53 | 73.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 19 | 29 | 65.5 |
| Oxford | 28 | 35 | 80.0 |
| Peninsula (Southwest) | 27 | 58 | 46.6 |
| Severn | 26 | 40 | 65.0 |
| Southeast of Scotland | 25 | 29 | 86.2 |
| South Yorks \& Humber | 2 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Wales | 36 | 65 | 55.4 |
| Wessex | 38 | 56 | 67.9 |
| West Midlands | 80 | 115 | 69.6 |
| West of Scotland | 40 | 54 | 74.1 |
| Yorkshire | 72 | 108 | 66.7 |
| Eire | 2 | 3 | 66.7 |
| Europe and Overseas | 24 | 37 | 64.9 |
| Unknown; N/A | 45 | 74 | 60.8 |
| Total | 1003 | 1430 | 70.1\% |

