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## 1 Introduction

A total of 21 candidates sat the Chennai Refraction Certificate exam February 2024. The examination consists of 10 objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) stations, covering a range of skills required to assess visual acuity, refractive error, and the prescription of spectacles.

### 1.1 Examination blueprint

The Refraction Certificate (RCert) is designed to assess the following learning outcomes from the Royal College of Ophthalmologists curriculum for ophthalmic specialist training (OST):

| CA2 | Vision |
| :--- | :--- |
| PM14 | To use spectacle lenses and prisms when indicated |
| PS2 | Perform a refractive assessment and provide an optical prescription |
| C1 | Establish a good rapport with patients and relatives |
| C11 | Keep clinical records |
| BCS6 | Optics and Medical Physics |

### 1.2 Examination structure

The examination consists of 10 OSCE stations. Each station contributes 15 marks to the overall total. The stations used for the examination were:

- SR1-SR4: Simulated retinoscopy
- NR1 - NR2: Non-cycloplegic retinoscopy
- SC: Subjective refraction cylinder
- LN: Lens neutralisation
- SS: Subjective refraction sphere
- BB: Binocular balance


## 2 Summary

The Hofstee method of standard setting was used to generate the pass mark for this examination, with a final rounded pass mark of 101/150 (67.3\%). On average, candidates scored highest in one of the four Simulated retinoscopy stations (SR4), the 'Non-cycloplegic retinoscopy' station (NR1) and the Subjective refraction sphere (SS) station. In this diet, candidates scored lowest on the 'Subjective refraction cylinder' (SC) station. The overall exam pass rate was 52.4\%.

The reliability of the exam was $\alpha=0.72$; this falls below the desired level of 0.80 ; the alpha value can be negatively affected by small cohort sizes and small standard deviations, both of which occurred in this exam. One of the 'Simulated retinoscopy' stations (SR1) had the weakest correlation with overall scores. Two of the 'Simulated retinoscopy' stations (SR2 \&SR4), however, had the strongest correlation with total scores, therefore suggesting them to be the best discriminators.
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## 3 Standard setting

Candidates must be able to accurately assess visual acuity, measure refractive error and recommend an appropriate spectacle correction to pass the RCert. The pass mark is identified using the Hofstee method.

### 3.1 Hofstee method

After the examination, examiners were asked to review the parameters for the standard setting based upon their judgment of the difficulty of the stations. The following values were used to set the pass mark:

- The maximum credible pass mark for the examination $=75 \%$
- The minimum credible pass mark for the examination $=60 \%$
- The maximum credible pass rate for the examination $=100 \%$
- The minimum credible pass rate for the examination $=0 \%$

The cumulative fail rate as a function of the pass mark and the co-ordinates derived from the four values above were plotted on a graph. The point where a line joining the two coordinates intersects the cumulative function curve is used to identify the pass mark. This pass mark is rounded to the nearest achievable mark.

The raw Hofstee pass mark (before rounding) for this examination was 100.7/150 (67.1\%).

## 4 Results

Table 1: Results summary

| Statistic | Value | Percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of candidates | 21 |  |
| Maximum possible mark | 150 |  |
| Mean candidate mark | 93.67 | $62.4 \%$ |
| Median candidate mark | 99 | $66.0 \%$ |
| Standard deviation | 21.88 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Highest candidate mark | 129 | $86 \%$ |
| Lowest candidate mark | 39 | $26 \%$ |
| Reliability | 0.721 |  |
| Standard error of measurement |  |  |
| (SEM rounded) | 11.56 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Hofstee pass mark | $101 / 150$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| Pass rate* | $11 / 21$ | $52.4 \%$ |

*Please note that the final pass rate presented reflects any adjustments to candidates scores. All other analyses are based on original, unadjusted score data.


## Figure 1: Distribution of marks

The dotted red vertical line denotes the point on the score distribution where the pass mark lies.

Table 2: Station summary

| Station | Category | Mean | Median | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SR1 | 9.0 | 9 | 4.19 | 2 | 15 |
| 2 | SR2 | 7.1 | 6 | 4.23 | 0 | 14 |
| 3 | SR3 | 10.0 | 10 | 4.43 | 3 | 15 |
| 4 | SR4 | 11.2 | 14 | 4.52 | 0 | 15 |
| 5 | NR1 | 11.8 | 14 | 3.86 | 3 | 15 |
| 6 | NR2 | 10.6 | 12 | 4.61 | 0 | 15 |
| 7 | SC | 6.7 | 5 | 4.39 | 0 | 15 |
| 8 | LN | 7.5 | 8 | 5.26 | 0 | 15 |
| 9 | SS | 11.0 | 11 | 2.26 | 4 | 14 |
| 10 | BB | 8.6 | 9 | 3.54 | 2 | 14 |

Stations with the highest mean scores are highlighted in green. Stations highlighted red have the lowest mean scores. The LN station has the largest variations in candidate performance.

The relative weights for each skill in refraction (based upon the number of stations) are shown in Table 3 below.

## Table 3: Weights for each skill

| Clinical Skill | Number of stations | Contribution to total marks | Median mark |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retinoscopy | 6 | $60 \%$ | 11 |
| Subjective | 3 | $30 \%$ | 10 |
| Other | 1 | $10 \%$ | 8 |

## Table 4: Correlation betweenS stations

|  | SR1 | SR2 | SR3 | SR4 | NR1 | NR2 | SC | LN | SS |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR2 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR3 | 0.01 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR4 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR1 | -0.27 | -0.08 | 0.22 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR2 | -0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |
| SC | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 |  |  |  |
| LN | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.25 |  |  |
| SS | 0.23 | 0.33 | -0.09 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.24 |  |
| BB | 0.20 | 0.39 | -0.08 | 0.17 | -0.11 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.76 |

Within Table 4, cells are highlighted green if the correlation is greater than 0.5 , orange if the correlation is between 0 and 0.2 and red if the correlation is negative.

The median correlation between all stations was 0.23 . There were $6 / 45$ negative correlations between stations (red), and 14/45 instances of a weak relationship between stations (orange). The strongest negative correlation was seen between the SR1 (Simulated Retinoscopy 1) station and the NR1 (Non-cycloplegic retinoscopy 1) station (-0.27). The strongest positive correlation was between the BB (Binocular balance) station and the SS (Subjective refraction sphere) station (0.76), followed by the SR2 (Simulated Retinoscopy 2) and SR4 (Simulated Retinoscopy 2 ) station scores (0.69).

Table 5: Correlation between each station score and total score

| Station | SR1 | SR2 | SR3 | SR4 | NR1 | NR2 | SC | LN | SS | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation with <br> total score | 0.17 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.42 |

Table 5 shows the corrected station-total correlations. This is the correlation between the station score and the overall total score without the score of that specific station included. Data suggests that two of the 'Simulated retinoscopy' stations (SR2 \&SR4), followed by the Lens neutralisation and the Subjective refraction sphere stations had the strongest relationships with total scores and were therefore the better discriminators.

## 5 Breakdown of results

Table 6: Breakdown of results by demographic groups

| Demographics | Passed | Total | Pass rate <br> (Rounded) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity (grouped) |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 10 | 20 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Other | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |  |
| PMQ | 11 | 21 | $52.4 \%$ |  |
| OS | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UK |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | 5 | 12 | $41.7 \%$ |  |
| Female | 5 | 7 | $71.4 \%$ |  |
| Male | 1 | 2 | $50.0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |

*Please note that the pass rates presented in Table 6 reflect any adjustments to candidate scores.

## 6 Comparison to previous examinations

Table 7: Comparison to previous years' exams

| Date | Centre | Number of Candidates | Pass mark | Pass rate | Pass rate in OST | \% of candidates in OST | Reliability (alpha) | SEM (rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb-24 | Chennai | 21 | 67\% | 52\% | n/a | n/a | 0.72 | 12 (8\%) |
| Jan-24 | Singapore | 14 | 72\% | 93\% | n/a | n/a | 0.40 | 7 (5\%) |
| Dec-23 | Birmingham | 75 | 71\% | 79\% | n/a | n/a | 0.70 | 10 (7\%) |
| Nov-23 | Cairo | 10 | 69\% | 80\% | n/a | n/a | 0.81 | 9 (6\%) |
| Sept-23 | Birmingham | 58 | 67\% | 55\% | n/a | n/a | 0.66 | 11 (8\%) |
| June-23 | Kuching | 44 | 69\% | 75\% | n/a | n/a | 0.41 | 11 (7\%) |
| May-23 | Birmingham | 75 | 70\% | 71\% | n/a | n/a | 0.79 | 10 (7\%) |
| Jan-23 | Singapore | 22 | 71\% | 82\% | 100\% | 5\% | 0.54 | 9 (6\%) |
| Dec-22 | London | 63 | 69\% | 62\% | 86\% | 22\% | 0.73 | 11 (7\%) |
| Jul-22 | Glasgow | 109 | 72\% | 81\% | n/a | n/a | 0.85 | 9 (6\%) |
| May-22 | Birmingham | 83 | 72\% | 80\% | 94\% | 20\% | 0.77 | 9 (6\%) |
| May-22 | Delhi | 33 | 66\% | 39\% | n/a | n/a | 0.81 | 11 (7\%) |
| Apr-22 | Cairo | 36 | 73\% | 86\% | n/a | n/a | 0.76 | 8 (5\%) |
| Dec-21 | Singapore | 131 | 72\% | 79\% | 80\% | 31\% | 0.78 | 10 (6\%) |
| May-21 |  | 171 | 71\% | 57\% | 58\% | 42\% | 0.83 | 10 (7\%) |
| Jan-21 |  | 39 | 74\% | 92\% | n/a | n/a | 0.51 | 9 (6\%) |
| Dec-20 |  | 141 | 70\% | 57\% | 72\% | 56\% | 0.81 | 11 (8\%) |
| Jun-19 |  | 40 | 70\% | 57\% | n/a | n/a | 0.73 | 11 (7\%) |
| Jun-19 |  | 52 | 74\% | 67\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.76 | 9 (6\%) |
| Apr-19 |  | 87 | 72\% | 59\% | 68\% | 51\% | 0.54 | 12 (6\%) |
| Dec-18 |  | 68 | 72\% | 54\% | 70\% | 63\% | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jul-18 |  | 64 | 75\% | 67\% | 77\% | 55\% | 0.74 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jun-18 |  | 39 | 75\% | 74\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.69 | 10 (5\%) |
| Apr-18 |  | 60 | 75\% | 68\% | 73\% | 75\% | 0.55 | 10 (6\%) |
| Dec-17 |  | 63 | 71\% | 56\% | 59\% | 65\% | 0.72 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jul-17 |  | 62 | 72\% | 61\% | 68\% | 60\% | 0.7 | 12 (6\%) |
| Apr-17 |  | 63 | 73\% | 67\% | 69\% | 62\% | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Jan-17 |  | 62 | 72\% | 63\% | 64\% | 90\% | 0.6 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-16 |  | 64 | 70\% | 64\% | 67\% | 67\% | 0.6 | 12 (7\%) |
| Jun-16 |  | 23 | 70\% | 57\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.7 | 11 (6\%) |
| Mar-16 |  | 57 | 77\% | 81\% | 83\% | 70\% | 0.9 | 7.7 (4\%) |
| Jan-16 |  | 70 | 70\% | 60\% | 60\% | 81\% | 0.8 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-15 |  | 31 | 66\% | 58\% | 55\% | 65\% | 0.65 | 9.4 (5\%) |
| Jun-15 |  | 33 | 69\% | 58\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ | 0.73 | 10 (6\%) |
| Apr-15 |  | 57 | 77\% | 65\% | 73\% | 65\% | 0.4 | 11 (7\%) |
| Dec-14 |  | 63 | 71\% | 68\% | 77\% | 68\% | 0.6 | 12 (7\%) |
| Jul-14 |  | 34 | 74\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% | 0.4 | 11 (6\%) |
| Apr-14 |  | 56 | 73\% | 84\% | 89\% | 66\% | 0.6 | 9.5 (5\%) |
| Dec-13 |  | 75 | 72\% | 67\% | 76\% | 65\% | 0.7 | 10 (6\%) |
| Jul-13 |  | 42 | 72\% | 74\% | 90\% | 48\% | 0.7 | 10 (6\%) |
| Apr-13 |  | 64 | 74\% | 61\% | 64\% | 64\% | 0.8 | 11 (6\%) |

Table 8: Performance of candidate by deanery for all examinations to date, where deanery is known

| Deanery | Pass | Total | Pass rate (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London | 219 | 295 | 74.2 |
| East Midlands | 47 | 64 | 73.4 |
| East of England | 59 | 82 | 72.0 |
| East of Scotland | 15 | 21 | 71.4 |
| Kent, Surrey, and Sussex | 46 | 60 | 76.7 |
| Mersey | 50 | 66 | 75.8 |
| North of Scotland | 15 | 17 | 88.2 |
| Northwest | 28 | 38 | 73.7 |
| Northwestern | 20 | 25 | 80.0 |
| Northern | 39 | 53 | 73.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 19 | 29 | 65.5 |
| Oxford | 28 | 35 | 80.0 |
| Peninsula (Southwest) | 27 | 58 | 46.6 |
| Severn | 26 | 40 | 65.0 |
| Southeast of Scotland | 25 | 29 | 86.2 |
| South Yorks \& Humber | 2 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Wales | 36 | 65 | 55.4 |
| Wessex | 38 | 56 | 67.9 |
| West Midlands | 80 | 115 | 69.6 |
| West of Scotland | 40 | 54 | 74.1 |
| Yorkshire | 72 | 108 | 66.7 |
|  | 29 |  |  |
| Eire | 23 | 58 | 56.9 |
| Europe and overseas | 2412 | 70.1 |  |
| Unknown; N/A | 36 | 66.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 290 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |

*Please note that the pass rates presented in Table 8 reflect any adjustments to candidates scores.

